

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/439,157	11/12/1999		YUKI HIRAYAMA	JA9-98-228	1299
25259	7590	05/09/2003			
IBM CORE			EXAMINER		
3039 CORN DEPT. T81			LU, TOM Y		
		LE PARK, NC	27709		··
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2621	6
				DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address. Period for Reply A SHORTENDE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be validate used the provisions of 3 CFR 1-136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timed the selection of time may be validated used the provisions of 3 CFR 1-136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timed the selection of time may be validated used the provisional adulty period will agric and will be considered fromly. If NO period for reply spellate or reply within the selection validation to become ABANDONED (39 U.S.C. § 130). False to reply within the selection of the communication, even if timely fised, may reduce any seminary time and destination. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). For this action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is round in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mering close of a coordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1/2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2) Creftified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in			Application No.	Applicant(s)
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repty within the statutory maintain (30) days will be considered sinely. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repty within the statutory maintain (30) days will be considered directly. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repty within the statutory maintain (30) days will be considered sinely. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repty within the statutory maintain (30) days will be considered sinely. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repty within the statutory maintain (30) days will be considered sinely. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days and legislate (30) and (30) days will be considered sinely. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered sinely. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered sinely. If the period for repty specified services is sent than the mailing date of this communication. A period of the specification is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meriodication is price than a price and a service and a		•	09/439,157	HIRAYAMA, YUKI
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be available under the proximison of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. Extensions of the may be available under the proximison of 37 CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed. If this period for reply spondied above is less than they (30) days, exply within the statutory primorum of this (4) (30) days will be considered timely. If this period for reply spondied above is less than they (30) days, exply within the statutory primorum of the part of the period for reply spondied above. In the same statutory period will apply and will explice x8 (6) MONTIS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed advantations and the same status of the date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed advantations. 1)★★ Responsive to communication (5) filed on 07 February 2003. Status 1)★★ Responsive to communication (5) filed on 07 February 2003. 3 ★ This action is FINAL. 2 b)★★ This action is non-final. 3 ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meniclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ★ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4 ★ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7 ★ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7 ★ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected to extensive the proving of the primorulation and the proving of the primorulation of the developed of the primorulation of the proving of the primorulation of		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. after SIX (8) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. after SIX (8) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for epity specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for epity specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for epity specified above, the maximum statistory specified will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely flied, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 07 February 2003. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3 □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mening close of in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4i) □ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is accepted or bi□ objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved by □ disapproved by the Examiner. 11 □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) all proved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 □ The post of order and the properity documents have been received in Application No. 13 □ All bi□ Some * c) None of: 14 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National St				1
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field after \$0.6 (b) MONTR's from the mailing date of this communication. If NO paried for reply is spatiated above, the maximum statutory paried via espiration to the first (0) days with the conditional provision of the provision of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are epicted to by the Examiner. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are epicted to solve the restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are pending of the provision of the prov	Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meniclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory prize to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the results.	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ARA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mericlosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Scalams 5 Claims 5 Clai	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 February 2003 .	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 1-4 is/are pending in the application.	2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17. 2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) □ Other:	•—	closed in accordance with the practice un	lowance except for formal matt der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)🖂	Claim(s) $\underline{1-4}$ is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	
6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Attachment(s) **Discording Trademark Office **The Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) b) Notice of Patences Cited (PTO-892) c) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) c) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
S Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **International Pradematic Office of Pro-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Ratchment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			nd/or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Ratachment(s)** Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Ratachment(s)** Acknowledgment is practical (PTO-892)	_		niner.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		•		e Examiner
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 10 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 10 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 10 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 10 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 10 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 10 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 10 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 10 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 10 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 10 and/	,_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	11) 🔲 🗆			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Stachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	12) 🔲 🗆			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Stackment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Tradamark Office			•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 16) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 27) Notice of Paftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 28) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 29 Patent and Trademark Office			ents have been received.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Authorized Patent Proving Review (PTO-948) Diagram Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Diagram Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Patent and Trademark Office				plication No.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1. Patent and Trademark Office		3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International	oriority documents have been ro Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office			·	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language	provisional application has bee	en received.
Patent and Trademark Office Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·-··
	1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inf	
O-520 (Nev. 64-67) Part of Paper N			e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/439,157

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The written response received on February 7, 2003 has been entered. Claims 1-4 are pending.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. Upon further review of the Hemingway Reference cited in the previous Office Action, and in light of applicant's response, Hemingway does not anticipate or render obvious independent claims 1-4. However, in light of broad interpretations in the claims, the examiner feels a set of new rejections is in order with newly added references in the following non-final Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Prendin (GB 2202104A).
 - a. As applied to Claim 1, which is representative of Claim 3, Prendin discloses means for comparing an image in the vicinity of a coordinate obtained from a pointing device (Prendin at page 4, lines 30-31, discloses "reference area, or window, in the neighbourhood of said selected point, now displayed by means of a cursor positioned by means of a joystick". The reference area or window in the neighborhood of the selected point corresponds to the claimed "an image in the vicinity of a coordinate".

Application/Control Number: 09/439,157

Art Unit: 2621

"Means of a cursor positioned by means of a joystick" corresponds to the claimed "a pointing device") with an image of a template (Prendin at page 5, lines 2-5, discloses "compares it to analogous comparative area or windows", such "comparative area or window" corresponds to the claimed "an image of a template"); means for locating a most matching template and its position on an image (Prendin at page 5, lines 6-10, discloses "said window is copied to the working storage of a distance-measuring computer, which searches, by comparison, for the most similar window which is present in the corresponding image stored inside one of two storages". Note "the most similar window" corresponds to the claimed "template", the center point of windows 29 as shown in figure 3 correspond to the claimed "position on an image"); and from the position on said image and position correction information associated with the template (Prendin at page 5, lines 11-12, discloses "determines the difference between the positions of these two latter windows, from which the value of the sought distance is then easily computed", the center points of windows 31, 32, 33 correspond to the claimed "position correction information associated with the template"), means for calculating a final pointing position (Prendin at page 9, lines 30-31, discloses "this process enables the new true position to be found very quickly, which the movable reference point has come to during the period of two successive frames or images", such "new true position" corresponds to the claimed "final pointing position").

b. With regard to Claim 4, the only difference between Claim 1 and Claim 4 is Claim 4 calls for a medium which comprises a program. Prendin discloses using computers to implement his system. A computer inherently includes a memory

Art Unit: 2621

storage which the software program can run on, and such memory storage corresponds to the claimed "medium".

c. With regard to Claim 2, Prendin discloses means for selecting an image area, from an image, to be a subject of a template (Prendin at page 5, lines 30-31, discloses "figure 3 shows the comparative areas, or comparative windows", such comparative areas or windows are created same as the reference window. Prendin at page 8, lines 16-17, discloses a small area or window around the point selected by the operator, and shown by a small cross in figure 2, such window corresponds to the claimed "template"); means for storing said image area as a template image (Prendin at page 5, line 4, discloses the comparative areas or windows are stored in other storage); means for selecting a point in said image area with a pointing device (the center points shown in figure 3 for comparative windows 31, 32, 33 are selected by means of a joystick); and means for storing said selected position correction information associated with said template image (Prendin at page 5, line 4, discloses the comparative areas or windows contains the selected points are stored in other storage).

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Maekawa et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,040,824, discloses Information display system with touch panel.
 - b. Martin, U.S. Patent No. 5,933,135, discloses Pen input device for high resolution displays.

Application/Control Number: 09/439,157

Art Unit: 2621

c. Rylander, U.S. Patent No. 5,917,486, discloses System and method for client

Page 5

program control of a computer display cursor.

d. Shively, U.S. Patent No. 5,844,577, discloses System and method for detecting

screen hotspots.

e. Leah et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,808,601, discloses Interface object selection pointer

method and apparatus.

f. Ditter Jr., U.S. Patent No. 5,317,680, discloses Using regular graphic shapes to

identify a pointer-selected graphic object.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Tom Y Lu whose telephone number is (703) 306-4057. The examiner can

normally be reached on 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Leo H Boudreau can be reached on (703) 305-4706. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and

(703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Tom Y. Lu May 1, 2003

LEO BOUDREAU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600